arXiv:1207.1279v1 [math.CV] 5 Jul 2012

A COMPARISON FORMULA FOR RESIDUE CURRENTS

RICHARD LÄRKÄNG

Abstract. Given two ideals $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ of holomorphic functions such that $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$, we describe a comparison formula relating the Andersson-Wulcan currents of $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}$. More generally, this comparison formula holds for residue currents associated to two generically exact complexes of vector bundles, together with a morphism between the complexes.

We then show various applications of the comparison formula including generalizing the transformation law for Coleff-Herrera products to Andersson-Wulcan currents of Cohen-Macaulay ideals, proving that there exists a natural current $R^{\mathcal{J}}_Z$ on a singular variety Z such that $\operatorname{ann} R^{\mathcal{J}}_Z = \mathcal{J}$, and giving an analytic proof of a theorem of Hickel related to the Jacobian determinant of a holomorphic mapping by means of residue currents.

1. Introduction

Given a tuple $f = (f_1, \dots, f_p)$ a tuple of germs holomorphic functions at the origin in $\mathbb{C}^n$ defining a complete intersection, i.e., so that $\operatorname{codim} Z(f) = p$, there exists a current, called the *Coleff-Herrera product* of f,

$$\mu^f = \bar\partial \frac{1}{f_p} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar\partial \frac{1}{f_1}, \tag{1.1}$$

associated to it, as introduced in [CH]. One of the fundamental properties of the Coleff-Herrera product is the *duality theorem*, which says that $\operatorname{ann} \mu^f = \mathcal{J}(f)$, where $\operatorname{ann} \mu^f$ is the annihilator of μ^f, i.e., the holomorphic functions g such that $g\mu^f = 0$, and $\mathcal{J}(f)$ is the ideal generated by f. The duality theorem was proven independently by Dickenstein and Sessa, [DS1], and Passare, [P].

Another fundamental property of the Coleff-Herrera product is that it satisfies the *transformation law*. Earlier versions of the transformation law involving cohomological residues (Grothendieck residues) had appeared, see for example [T1], (4.3), and [GH], page 657.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_p)$ and $g = (g_1, \dots, g_p)$ be tuples of holomorphic functions defining complete intersections. Assume there*

Date: July 6, 2012.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32A27, 32C30.

exists a matrix A of holomorphic functions such that $f = gA$. Then

$$\bar\partial\frac{1}{g_p}\wedge\cdots\wedge\bar\partial\frac{1}{g_1} = (\det A)\bar\partial\frac{1}{f_p}\wedge\cdots\wedge\bar\partial\frac{1}{f_1}.$$

In the setting of Coleff-Herrera products, the transformation law was first stated in [DS1], and it was explained that the proof can be reduced to the absolute case (when $p = n$) and cohomological residues, together with the technique from [CH] of fibered residues. An elaboration of this proof can be found in [DS2].

For cohomological residues as in [GH], the idea of the proof is that if $dg_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dg_n$ is non-vanishing, and A is invertible, then the transformation law is essentially the change of variables formula for integrals, and the general case is reduced to the previous case by perturbations of g and A.

In the case when $p = n$, the transformation law combined with the Nullstellensatz allow to express in an explicit fashion the action of μ^f, see for example [T2], page 22. Essentially the same idea is also used in [GH] to prove the duality theorem for Grothendieck residues by using the transformation law.

One particular case of the transformation law is when we choose different generators $f' = (f_1', \dots, f_p')$ of the ideal generated by f. Then the Coleff-Herrera product of f' differs from the one of f only by an invertible holomorphic function, and hence, it can essentially be considered as a current associated to the ideal $\mathcal{J}(f)$ rather than the tuple f.

The requirement that $f = gA$ means that $\mathcal{J}(f) \subseteq \mathcal{J}(g)$. Thus, by considering the Coleff-Herrera product of g as a current associated to the ideal $\mathcal{J}(g)$, the transformation law says that inclusion of ideals $\mathcal{J}(f) \subseteq \mathcal{J}(g)$ implies that we can express the Coleff-Herrera product associated to $\mathcal{J}(g)$ in terms of the Coleff-Herrera product associated to $\mathcal{J}(f)$.

1.1. **A comparison formula for Andersson-Wulcan currents.**

Now, consider an arbitrary ideal $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ of holomorphic functions. Throughout this article, we will let $\mathcal{O}$ denote $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$, the ring of germs of holomorphic functions at the origin in $\mathbb{C}^n$ unless otherwise stated. Let (E, φ) be a Hermitian resolution of $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$,

$$0 \to E_N \xrightarrow{\varphi_N} E_{N-1} \to \dots \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} E_0 \to \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J} \to 0,$$

i.e., a free resolution of $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$, where the free modules are equipped with Hermitian metrics. Given E, Andersson and Wulcan constructed in [AW1] a current R^E such that $\operatorname{ann} R^E = \mathcal{J}$, where $R^E = \sum_{k=p}^N R^E_k$, $p = \operatorname{codim} Z(\mathcal{J})$, and R^E_k are $\operatorname{Hom}(E_0, E_k)$-valued $(0,k)$-currents. We will sometimes denote the current R^E by $R^{\mathcal{J}}$, although it depends on the choice of Hermitian resolution E of $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$. We refer to Section 2 for a more thorough description of the current R^E. Such currents have been used, for example in the study of various questions related to singular varieties, like division problems in [ASS, AW3, S], and the $\bar\partial$-equation in [AS1, AS2].

In case $\mathcal{J}$ is a complete intersection defined by a tuple f, then $\mathcal{J}$ has an explicit free resolution; the Koszul complex of f. In that case, the Andersson-Wulcan current associated to the Koszul complex coincides with the Coleff-Herrera product of f, see Section 2.3.

We now consider two ideals $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ such that $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$, and free resolutions (E,φ) and (F,ψ) of $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}$ respectively. We choose minimal free resolutions, so that in particular $\operatorname{rank} E_0 = \operatorname{rank} F_0 = 1$, i.e., $E_0 \cong \mathcal{O} \cong F_0$, and we let $a_0 : E_0 \to F_0$ be this isomorphism. Since $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$, we get the natural surjection $\pi : \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$, and by the choice of a_0, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J} \\
F_0 \arrow[u, "a_0"] \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I} \arrow[u, "\pi"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. Using the fact that the F_k are free, and that (E,φ) is exact, by a simple diagram chase one can show that one can complete this to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & E_N \arrow[r, "\varphi_N"] & E_{N-1} \arrow[r, "\varphi_{N-1}"] & \dots \arrow[r, "\varphi_1"] & E_0 \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J} \arrow[r] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & F_N \arrow[u, "a_N"] \arrow[r, "\psi_N"] & F_{N-1} \arrow[u, "a_{N-1}"] \arrow[r, "\psi_{N-1}"] & \dots \arrow[r, "\psi_1"] & F_0 \arrow[u, "a_0"] \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I} \arrow[u, "\pi"'] \arrow[r] & 0,
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.2}$$

of the free resolutions, i.e., $a : (F,\psi) \to (E,\varphi)$ is a morphism of complexes.

The main result of this article is a comparison formula for the currents associated to $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}$, obtained from the morphism a. The formula involves forms u^E and u^F, which are certain endomorphism-valued forms on the free resolutions E and F, see Section 2 for details about how they are defined.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ be two ideals of germs of holomorphic functions such that $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$, and let (E,φ) and (F,ψ) be minimal free resolutions of $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}$ respectively. Let $a : (F,\psi) \to (E,\varphi)$ be the morphism in* (1.2) *induced by the natural surjection $\pi : \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$. Then,*

$$R^{\mathcal{J}} a_0 - a R^{\mathcal{I}} = \nabla_\varphi M, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\nabla_\varphi = \sum \varphi_k - \bar\partial$,

$$M = \bar\partial |G|^{2\lambda} \wedge u^E \wedge a u^F \big|_{\lambda=0},$$

and G is a tuple of holomorphic functions such that $\{G=0\}$ contains the set where (E,φ) and (F,ψ) are not pointwise exact.

The theorem in fact holds in a more general setting. First of all, there are Andersson-Wulcan currents associated not just to free resolutions, but to generically exact complexes of vector bundles, and the theorem holds for such residue currents together with arbitrary morphisms of the complexes, Theorem 3.2. To elaborate more precisely how the current M and ∇_φ are defined, more background from the construction of the Andersson-Wulcan currents is required. We refer to Section 2 for the necessary background, and Section 3 for a more precise statement of the comparison formula in the general form.

One of the main applications of the comparison formula will be to construct residue currents with prescribed annihilator ideals on singular varieties, generalizing the construction of Andersson-Wulcan. We will treat one aspect of the construction here, which is a rather direct consequence of the comparison formula, and we will elaborate it in the article [L3]. We will also discuss other direct applications and special cases of the comparison formula.

1.2. A transformation law for Andersson-Wulcan currents associated with Cohen-Macaulay ideals.

Our first application is a situation in which the current M in (1.3) vanishes. This gives a direct generalization of the transformation law for Coleff-Herrera products to Andersson-Wulcan currents associated with Cohen-Macaulay ideals. We recall that an ideal $\mathcal{J}$ is *Cohen-Macaulay* if $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$ has a free resolution of length equal to $\operatorname{codim} Z(\mathcal{J})$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ be two Cohen-Macaulay ideals of germs of holomorphic functions of the same codimension p such that $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$. Let (F,ψ) and (E,φ) be free resolutions of length p of $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$ respectively. If $a : (F,\psi) \to (E,\varphi)$ is the morphism in* (1.2) *induced by the natural surjection $\pi : \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$, then*

$$R^{\mathcal{J}}_p a_0 = a_p R^{\mathcal{I}}_p.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is given in Section 4; it is a special case of the more general Theorem 4.1. In Remark 3 in Section 4, we describe how the transformation law for Coleff-Herrera product is a special case of Theorem 1.3.

In the article [DS2], two proofs of the transformation law for Coleff-Herrera products are given. One of the proofs can in fact be adapted to give an alternative proof of Theorem 1.3, see Section 4.

See Section 4 for various examples of how one can use Theorem 1.3 or its generalization Theorem 4.1 to express the current $R^{\mathcal{I}}$ for a Cohen-Macaulay ideal $\mathcal{I}$ in terms of other currents in an explicit way. In Section 5, we give an example of a computation when the ideal is not Cohen-Macaulay.

In a forthcoming article joint with E. Wulcan, we use Theorem 1.3 to compute currents like $D\varphi_1 \circ \cdots \circ D\varphi_p \circ R_p$, generalizing the Poincaré-Lelong formula. In another joint article, [LW], we use Theorem 1.3 to calculate in a simpler and in some aspects more explicit way residue currents associated to Artinian monomials ideals, compared to earlier work by the second author.

1.3. **Andersson-Wulcan currents on an analytic variety.** Let $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$ be an ideal of holomorphic functions. Then, the Andersson-Wulcan current $R^{\mathcal{J}}$ is a current associated to $\mathcal{J}$ such that its annihilator equals $\mathcal{J}$. It is natural to ask if there exists a similar current on a singular analytic variety $(Z,0) \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^n,0)$ associated to an ideal $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_Z$, where $\mathcal{O}_Z = \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}_Z$ and $\mathcal{I}_Z$ is the ideal of holomorphic functions vanishing on Z.

There exists one natural candidate. If we consider the Andersson-Wulcan current $R^{\mathcal{J}+\mathcal{I}_Z}$ on $(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$, its annihilator equals $\mathcal{J}+\mathcal{I}_Z$. Thus, since its annihilator contains $\mathcal{I}_Z$, we get a well-defined multiplication of it by holomorphic functions on Z, and its annihilator considered as an ideal in $\mathcal{O}_Z$ equals $\mathcal{J}$.

We first remind briefly how to define currents on analytic varieties. The usual way to define currents on an analytic variety is to first define test-forms on analytic varieties, and then define currents as continuous linear functionals on the test-forms. However, more concretely, if $(Z,0) \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^n,0)$ is of pure codimension k, and i is the inclusion $i : (Z,0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n,0)$, then T is a (p,q)-current on Z if i_*T is a $(p+k,q+k)$-current on $(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$ which vanishes when acting on test-forms ϕ such that $\phi|_{Z_{\mathrm{reg}}} = 0$. Conversely, if T' is any such current on $(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$, then T' defines a unique current T on Z such that $i_*T = T'$. If Z does not have pure dimension, as might be the case below, and T' is such a (p',q')-current on $\mathbb{C}^n$, the current T on Z will have different bidegrees on irreducible components of different dimensions (i.e., bidegree $(p'-k,q'-k)$ on an irreducible component of bidegree k).

Since $R^{\mathcal{J}+\mathcal{I}_Z}$ is a $(0,p)$-current on $\mathbb{C}^n$, it cannot be a current on Z for degree reasons, so we consider instead $R^{\mathcal{J}+\mathcal{I}_Z} \wedge dz$, where $dz = dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n$, which has the same annihilator as $R^{\mathcal{J}+\mathcal{I}_Z}$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $(Z,0)$ be a subvariety of $(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$, and let $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_Z$ be an ideal. Then there exists a current $R^{\mathcal{J}}_Z$ on Z such that $\operatorname{ann} R^{\mathcal{J}}_Z = \mathcal{J}$, and $i_* R^{\mathcal{J}}_Z = R^{\mathcal{J}+\mathcal{I}_Z} \wedge dz$.*

Note that we do not assume that $(Z,0)$ has pure dimension, i.e., it may consist of components of different dimensions. The proof of Theorem 1.4 is given in Section 6, using the comparison formula, Theorem 1.2. As we described above, $R^{\mathcal{J}+\mathcal{I}_Z} \wedge dz$ will define the current $R^{\mathcal{J}}_Z$ with the correct annihilator if we prove that if ϕ is a test form vanishing on Z_{reg}, then $R^{\mathcal{J}+\mathcal{I}_Z} \wedge dz$ acting on ϕ is zero.

In fact, it will essentially follow from the proof of Theorem 1.4 that the construction of $R^{\mathcal{J}}_Z$ on the singular variety Z can be seen as a generalization of the construction of the Andersson-Wulcan current $R^{\mathcal{J}}$ of an ideal $\mathcal{J}$ on a complex manifold. Since elaborating on this would lead us too far astray, we will treat this topic in a separate article, [L3].

Note that by construction, $\mathcal{I}_Z$ annihilates $R^{\mathcal{J}+\mathcal{I}_Z}$, and by properties of pseudomeromorphic currents, $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_Z$, i.e., antiholomorphic functions vanishing on Z, also annihilate $R^{\mathcal{J}+\mathcal{I}_Z}$, Proposition 2.3. If $(Z,0)$ is not irreducible, it is easily seen that $\mathcal{I}_Z$ and $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_Z$ do not generate the ideal of smooth functions vanishing on Z. For example, if $Z = \{zw = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$, then $z\bar{w}$ is smooth and vanishes at Z, but it does not lie in the (smooth) ideal generated by holomorphic and antiholomorphic functions vanishing on Z. However, this can happen also when $(Z,0)$ is irreducible. For example, the variety $Z = \{z_3^3(z_1^2 + z_2^2) - z_1^3 = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3$ is irreducible at 0, but there exist z arbitrarily close to 0 such that (Z,z) is not irreducible. In this case, the ideal of smooth functions vanishing on Z is strictly larger than the ideal generated by $\mathcal{I}_Z$ and $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_Z$, see [N], Proposition 9, Chapter IV and [M], Theorem 3.10, Chapter VI. Thus, it is not immediate whether it is possible to prove Theorem 1.4 using only that it is annihilated by $\mathcal{I}_Z$ and $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_Z$.

Remark 1. In case $\mathcal{J}$ is a complete intersection defined by a tuple f on a complex manifold Z, the Coleff-Herrera product of f coincides with the Andersson-Wulcan current of $\mathcal{J}$, and hence is a current on Z such that its annihilator equals $\mathcal{J}$. If Z is singular, the Coleff-Herrera product of f still exists, and is a current on Z. However, in general its annihilator is strictly larger than $\mathcal{J}$, see [L2].

Trying to prove Theorem 1.4 was actually how we were lead to discover the comparison formula. Proving that $R^{\mathcal{I}_Z}$ is a current on Z follows in a rather straightforward way by using properties of pseudomeromorphic currents if Z has pure dimension. Since the holomorphic annihilator of $R^{\mathcal{J}+\mathcal{I}_Z}$ is larger than that of $R^{\mathcal{I}_Z}$, and it has smaller support, it should be easier to annihilate it, and hence, $R^{\mathcal{J}+\mathcal{I}_Z}$ should also be a current on Z. One way of making this into a formal mathematical argument would be to express $R^{\mathcal{J}+\mathcal{I}_Z}$ in terms of $R^{\mathcal{I}_Z}$. In the case of two complete intersections f and g instead of $\mathcal{J}+\mathcal{I}_Z$ and $\mathcal{I}_Z$, the transformation law expresses this relation. Trying to extend this to more general ideals, we arrived at Theorem 1.2.

1.4. **The Jacobian determinant of a holomorphic mapping.**

Let $f = (f_1,\dots,f_n) \in \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$, such that $0 \in Z(f_1,\cdots,f_n)$, and let J_f the Jacobian determinant of f, i.e.,

$$df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_n = J_f dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n.$$

If f is a complete intersection, it follows from the Poincaré-Lelong formula, [CH], Section 3.6, that

$$\bar\partial\frac{1}{f_n}\wedge\cdots\wedge\bar\partial\frac{1}{f_1}\wedge J_f dz_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_n = k[0],$$

where k is the multiplicity of f at 0, i.e., the generic number of preimages $f^{-1}(z)$ close to 0 for z close to 0. In particular, since $0\in Z(f_1,\dots,f_n)$, $k\geq 1$. Thus, J_f does not annihilate μ^f, so by the duality theorem, $J_f\notin\mathcal{J}(f)$. Hickel proved in [H], that the converse of this also holds.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $f=(f_1,\dots,f_n)$ be a tuple of germs of holomorphic functions in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$, and let J_f be the Jacobian determinant of f. Then $J_f\in\mathcal{J}(f_1,\dots,f_n)$ if and only if $\operatorname{codim} Z(f_1,\dots,f_n)<n$.*

We will use the generalization Theorem 3.2 of Theorem 1.2 to give a proof of this theorem by means of residue currents, the proof is given in Section 7.

The results in [H] concern more general rings than just $\mathcal{O}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}$, the ring of germs of holomorphic functions, and generalize previous results by Vasconcelos in the case of the polynomial ring over a field, [V]. In the proof in [H], as is the case here, residues are used. However, the proof in [H] uses Lipman residues, which are very much algebraic in nature, compared to Andersson-Wulcan currents, which are analytic in nature.

In the other applications of our comparison formula, we have considered Andersson-Wulcan currents associated to free resolutions. In the proof of Theorem 1.5, we use the comparison formula when the source complex is the Koszul complex of f, which is generically exact, and exact if and only if f is a complete intersection. The target complex will be a free resolution of the ideal $\mathcal{J}(f)$, and in order to get the induced morphism between the complexes, it is only required that the target complex is exact, see Proposition 3.1.

The current associated to the Koszul complex of f is called the Bochner-Martinelli current, as introduced in [PTY]. In fact, Theorem 1.5 was an important tool in the study of annihilators of Bochner-Martinelli currents in [JW].

2. Andersson-Wulcan currents and pseudomeromorphic currents

In this section, we recall the construction of residue currents associated to free resolutions of ideals, or more generally, residue currents associated to generically exact complexes, as constructed in [AW1] and [A3]. This is done in a rather detailed manner, since the construction of the comparison formula, and the properties of the currents appearing in the formula requires rather detailed knowledge of the construction of Andersson-Wulcan currents and their properties.

Let (E, φ) be a Hermitian complex (i.e., a complex of vector bundles equipped with Hermitian metrics), which is generically exact, i.e., the complex is pointwise exact outside some analytic set Z. Mainly, (E, φ) will be a free resolution of a module $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$, for some ideal $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$. When we refer to exactness of the complex, we mean that the induced complex of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}$-modules is exact. When we refer to exactness as vector bundles, we will refer to it as pointwise exactness, and generic exactness means it is pointwise exact outside of some analytic set. This is in contrast to the notation in for example [AW1], where the induced complex of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}$-modules is denoted $\mathcal{O}(E)$, and exactness as vector bundles or sheaves depends on if the complex is referred to as E or $\mathcal{O}(E)$.

2.1. **The superbundle structure of the total bundle E.**

The bundle $E = \oplus E_k$ has a natural superbundle structure, i.e., a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading, which splits E into odd and even elements E^+ and E^-, where $E^+ = \oplus E_{2k}$ and $E^- = \oplus E_{2k+1}$. Then also $\mathcal{D}'(E)$, the sheaf of current-valued sections of E inherits a superbundle structure by letting the degree of an element $\mu \otimes \omega$ be the sum of the degrees of μ and ω modulo 2, where μ is a current and ω is a section of E.

Now, also $\mathrm{End}E$ gets a superbundle structure by letting the even elements be the endomorphisms preserving the degree, and the odd elements the endomorphisms switching degree. Given g in $\mathrm{End}E$, we consider it also as en element of $\mathrm{End}\mathcal{D}'(E)$ by the formula

$$g(\mu \otimes \omega) = (-1)^{(\deg g)(\deg \mu)} \mu \otimes g\omega$$

if g is homogeneous. Also, $\bar\partial$ can be considered as an element of $\mathrm{End}\mathcal{D}'(E)$ by the formula $\bar\partial(\mu \otimes \omega) = \bar\partial\mu \otimes \omega$ if ω is a holomorphic section of E.

We let $\nabla := \varphi - \bar\partial$. Note that the action of φ on $\mathcal{D}'(E)$ is defined so that $\bar\partial$ and φ anti-commute, and hence, $\nabla^2 = 0$. Note also that since φ and $\bar\partial$ are odd mappings, ∇ is odd.

The mapping ∇ induces a mapping ∇_{End} on $\mathcal{D}'(\mathrm{End}E)$ by the formula

$$\nabla(\alpha\xi) = \nabla_{\mathrm{End}}(\alpha)\xi + (-1)^{\deg \alpha} \alpha \nabla \xi,$$

where α is a section of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathrm{End}E)$ and ξ is a section of E. By the fact that $\nabla^2 = 0$, and that ∇ is odd, we also get that $\nabla_{\mathrm{End}}^2 = 0$. Note also that if α and β are sections of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathrm{End}E)$, of which at least one of them is smooth, so that $\alpha\beta$ is defined, then

$$\nabla_{\mathrm{End}}(\alpha\beta) = \nabla_{\mathrm{End}}(\alpha)\beta + (-1)^{\deg \alpha} \alpha \nabla_{\mathrm{End}} \beta. \tag{2.1}$$

2.2. **The residue current R associated to a generically exact complex of vector bundles.**

Let Z be the set where E is not pointwise exact. Outside of Z, let $\sigma_k : E_{k-1} \to E_k$ be the right-inverses to φ_k

which are minimal with respect to the metrics on E, i.e., $\varphi_k\sigma_k|_{\operatorname{Im}\varphi_k} = \operatorname{Id}_{\operatorname{Im}\varphi_k}$, $\sigma_k = 0$ on $(\operatorname{Im}\varphi_k)^\perp$, and $\operatorname{Im}\sigma_k \perp \ker\varphi_k$. Then,

$$\varphi_{k+1}\sigma_k + \sigma_{k-1}\varphi_k = \operatorname{Id}_{E_k}. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $\sigma := \sum \sigma_k$, considered as an element in $\operatorname{End}(E)$, i.e., $\sigma_k = 0$ on E_l, $l \neq k-1$. Then, $\nabla_{\mathrm{End}}\sigma = \operatorname{Id}_E - \bar\partial\sigma$ by (2.2). Thus, if we let

$$u := \sum \sigma(\bar\partial\sigma)^{k-1}, \tag{2.3}$$

then $\nabla_{\mathrm{End}}u = \operatorname{Id}_E$ by (2.1).

The form u is smooth outside of Z, and we define a current extension U of u over Z, $U := |F|^{2\lambda}u|_{\lambda=0}$, where F is a tuple of holomorphic functions such that $Z(F) \supseteq Z$. By $|_{\lambda=0}$, we mean that for $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \gg 0$, $|F|^{2\lambda}u$ is a (arbitrarily) smooth form, and its action on a test form depends analytically on λ, and the action of U on the test form is defined as the analytic continuation to $\lambda = 0$ of the action of $|F|^{2\lambda}u$ on the test form. The existence of this analytic continuation is non-trivial, and it relies on the theorem of Hironaka on resolutions of singularities, see [AW1]. The definition of U is independent of the choice of F, cf., the discussion of a similar statement in the proof of Proposition 6.1 in [L1].

Since u and U coincide where u is smooth, $\nabla_{\mathrm{End}}U = \operatorname{Id}_E$ outside of Z, and the residue current R associated to E is defined as the difference between these currents, $R = \operatorname{Id}_E - \nabla_{\mathrm{End}}U$, which thus is a current with support on Z. Applying ∇_{End} to $|F|^{2\lambda}u$, and using (2.1) and that $\nabla_{\mathrm{End}}u = \operatorname{Id}_E$, it follows that one could also define R by

$$R = \bar\partial|F|^{2\lambda} \wedge u|_{\lambda=0}.$$

Note also that since $\nabla_{\mathrm{End}}\operatorname{Id}_E = 0$,

$$\nabla_{\mathrm{End}}R = \nabla_{\mathrm{End}}\operatorname{Id}_E - \nabla_{\mathrm{End}}^2 U = 0.$$

Since R is a $\operatorname{End}(E)$-valued current, it consists of various components R^l_k, where R^l_k is the part of R taking values in $\operatorname{Hom}(E_l, E_k)$ and R^l_k is a $(0, k-l)$-current. We will denote the part of R taking values in $\operatorname{Hom}(E, E_k)$ by R_k. In case we know more about the complex E, more can be said about which components are non-vanishing. First, if $k - l < \operatorname{codim} Z$, then $R^l_k = 0$, Proposition 2.2 in [AW1], and if E is exact, i.e., a free resolution, then $R^l_k = 0$ if $l \neq 0$, Theorem 3.1 in [AW1].

In particular, if E is a free resolution of length N of $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$, where $\operatorname{codim} Z(\mathcal{J}) = p$, then

$$R = \sum_{k=p}^{N} R^0_k.$$

In addition, it satisfies the fundamental property that $\operatorname{ann} R = \mathcal{J}$.

2.3. **Residue currents associated to the Koszul complex.** Let $f = (f_1,\dots,f_p)$ be a tuple of holomorphic functions. Then there exists a well-known complex associated to f, the Koszul complex $(\bigwedge^k \mathcal{O}^{\oplus p},\delta_f)$ of f, which is pointwise exact outside of the zero set $Z(f)$ of f. We let e_i be the trivial frame of $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus p}$, and identify f with the section $f = \sum f_i e_i^*$ of $(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus p})^*$, so that δ_f is the contraction with f.

In [PTY], a current called the *Bochner-Martinelli* current of a tuple f was introduced, which we will denote by R^f. One way of defining it is as the Andersson-Wulcan current associated to the Koszul complex of f, see [A1] for a presentation from this viewpoint.

In case the tuple f defines a complete intersection, the Koszul complex of f is exact, i.e., a free resolution of $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}(f)$, so the annihilator of the Bochner-Martinelli current equals $\mathcal{J}(f)$. Another current with the same annihilator is the Coleff-Herrera product of f, (1.1), which can be defined by analytic continuation,

$$\bar\partial\frac{1}{f_p}\wedge\cdots\wedge\bar\partial\frac{1}{f_1}.\phi := \left.\frac{\bar\partial|f_p|^{2\lambda}\wedge\cdots\wedge\bar\partial|f_1|^{2\lambda}}{f_1\cdots f_p}.\phi\right|_{\lambda=0}.$$

In fact, these two currents coincide.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f = (f_1,\dots,f_p)$ be a tuple of holomorphic functions defining a complete intersection. Let R^f be the Bochner-Martinelli current of f, $R^f = \mu\wedge e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge e_p$, and let μ^f be the Coleff-Herrera product of f. Then, $\mu = \mu^f$.*

The theorem was originally proved in [PTY], Theorem 4.1, see also [A4], Corollary 3.2 for an alternative proof.

2.4. **Pseudomeromorphic currents.** Many arguments regarding Andersson-Wulcan currents use the fact that they are pseudomeromorphic. Pseudomeromorphic currents were introduced in [AW2], based on similarities in the construction of Andersson-Wulcan currents and Coleff-Herrera products.

A current of the form

$$\frac{1}{z_{i_1}^{n_1}}\cdots\frac{1}{z_{i_k}^{n_k}}\bar\partial\frac{1}{z_{i_{k+1}}^{n_{k+1}}}\wedge\cdots\wedge\bar\partial\frac{1}{z_{i_m}^{n_m}}\wedge\alpha,$$

where α is a smooth form with compact support is said to be an *elementary current*, and a current on a complex manifold X is said to be *pseudomeromorphic*, denoted $T\in\mathcal{PM}(X)$, if it can be written as a locally finite sum of push-forwards of elementary currents under modifications of X. As can be seen from the construction, Coleff-Herrera products, Andersson-Wulcan currents and all currents appearing in this article are pseudomeromorphic. In addition, as is apparent from the definition, the class of pseudomeromorphic currents is closed under push-forwards of currents under modifications and under multiplication by smooth forms.

An important property of pseudomeromorphic currents is that they satisfy the following *dimension principle*, Corollary 2.4 in [AW2].

Proposition 2.2. *If* $T \in \mathcal{PM}(X)$ *is a* (p,q)*-current with support on a variety* Z*, and* $\operatorname{codim} Z > q$*, then* $T = 0$.

Another important property is the following, Proposition 2.3 in [AW2].

Proposition 2.3. *If* $T \in \mathcal{PM}(X)$*, and* Ψ *is a holomorphic form vanishing on* $\operatorname{supp} T$*, then*

$$\overline{\Psi} \wedge T = 0.$$

Pseudomeromorphic currents also have natural restrictions to analytic subvarieties. If $T \in \mathcal{PM}(X)$, and $Z \subseteq X$ is a subvariety of X, and h is a tuple of holomorphic functions such that $Z = Z(h)$, one can define

$$\mathbf{1}_{X\setminus Z}T := |h|^{2\lambda}T|_{\lambda=0} \text{ and } \mathbf{1}_Z T := T - \mathbf{1}_{X\setminus Z}T.$$

This definition is independent of the choice of tuple h, and $\mathbf{1}_Z T$ is a pseudomeromorphic current with support on Z.

This construction of restrictions can then be extended in a consistent way to any constructible set by using the formulas

$$\mathbf{1}_{A^c}T = (1 - \mathbf{1}_A)T \text{ and } \mathbf{1}_{A\cap B}T = \mathbf{1}_A \mathbf{1}_B T,$$

Theorem 3.1 in [AW2]. In particular,

$$\mathbf{1}_{A\cup B}T = \mathbf{1}_A T + \mathbf{1}_B T - \mathbf{1}_{A\cap B}T. \tag{2.4}$$

2.5. **Coleff-Herrera currents.** Coleff-Herrera currents were introduced in [DS1] (under the name “locally residual currents”), as canonical representatives of cohomology classes in moderate local cohomology. Let Z be a subvariety of pure codimension p of a complex manifold X. A $(*,p)$-current μ on X is a *Coleff-Herrera current*, denoted $\mu \in CH_Z$, if $\bar\partial\mu = 0$, $\overline{\psi}\mu = 0$ for all holomorphic functions ψ vanishing on Z, and μ has the standard extension property, SEP, with respect to Z, i.e., $\mathbf{1}_V\mu = 0$ for any hypersurface V of Z.

This description of Coleff-Herrera currents is due to Björk, see [B1], Chapter 3, and [B2], Section 6.2. In [DS1], locally residual currents were defines as currents of the form $\omega \wedge R^h$, where ω is a holomorphic $(*,0)$-form, and $Z = Z(h)$ (at least if Z is a complete intersection defined by h).

One particular case of Coleff-Herrera currents that will be of interest to us are Andersson-Wulcan currents R^E associated to free resolutions (E,φ) of minimal length of Cohen-Macaulay modules $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$. Such a current is $\bar\partial$-closed since $\nabla R^E = 0$ implies that $\bar\partial R^E_p = \varphi_{p+1}R^E_{p+1} = 0$ since E is assumed to be of minimal length. The other properties of needed in order to be a Coleff-Herrera current are satisfied by the fact that they are pseudomeromorphic, Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.3.

2.6. **Singularity subvarieties of free resolutions.** In the study of residue currents associated to free resolutions of ideals, an important ingredient is certain singularity subvarieties associated to the ideal. Given a free resolution (E,φ) of an ideal $\mathcal{J}$, the variety $Z_k = Z_k^E$ is defined as the set where φ_k does not have optimal rank. These sets are independent of the choice of free resolution. If $\operatorname{codim} Z(\mathcal{J}) = p$, then $Z_k = Z$ for $k \leq p$, Corollary 20.12 in [E]. In addition, Corollary 20.12 says that $Z_{k+1} \subseteq Z_k$, and $\operatorname{codim} Z_k \geq k$ by Theorem 20.9 in [E]. In fact, Theorem 20.9 in [E] is a characterization of exactness, the Buchsbaum-Eisenbud criterion, which says that a generically exact complex of free modules is exact if and only if $\operatorname{codim} Z_k \geq k$.

However, more precise information is obtained about which irreducible components of Z_k there is equality in $\operatorname{codim} Z_k \geq k$. By Corollary 20.14, if $\operatorname{codim} V = k$, then $V \subseteq Z_k$ if and only if $\mathcal{I}_V \in \operatorname{Ass} \mathcal{J}$, i.e., if the ideal of holomorphic functions vanishing on V is an associated prime of $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$. In particular, if $\mathcal{J}$ is reduced, $\operatorname{Ass} \mathcal{J}$ correspond exactly the irreducible components of $Z = Z(\mathcal{J})$, and if Z_k' is the closure of Z_k minus the irreducible components of Z of codimension k, then

$$\operatorname{codim} Z_k' \geq k+1. \tag{2.5}$$

The fact that these sets are important in the study of residue currents associated to free resolutions stems from the following. Outside of Z_k, σ_k is smooth, so by using that $\sigma_{l+1}\bar\partial\sigma_l = \bar\partial\sigma_{l+1}\sigma_l$ (see [AW1], (2.3)), $R_k = \bar\partial\sigma_k R_{k-1}$ outside of Z_k. This combined with the dimension principle for pseudomeromorphic currents allows for inductive arguments regarding residue currents, see for example Section 6.

3. A comparison formula for Andersson-Wulcan currents

The starting point of Theorem 1.2 is that the natural surjection $\pi : \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$, when $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$, induces a morphism of complexes $a : (F,\psi) \to (E,\varphi)$, where (F,ψ) and (E,φ) are free resolutions of $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$ respectively. The existence of such a morphism holds much more generally in homological algebra, of which the following formulation is suitable for our purposes, what is sometimes referred to as the *comparison theorem.*

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\alpha : M \to N$ be a homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}$-modules, and let (F,ψ) be a complex of free $\mathcal{O}$-modules with* $\operatorname{coker} \psi_1 = M$, *and let (E,φ) be a free resolution of N. Then, there exists a morphism $a : (F,\psi) \to (E,\varphi)$ of complexes which extends α. If $\tilde a$ is any other such morphism, then there exists a homotopy $s : (F,\psi) \to (E,\varphi)$ of degree -1 such that $a_i - \tilde a_i = \varphi_{i+1}s_i - s_{i-1}\psi_i$.*

That a extends α means that the map induced by a_0 on $F_0/(\operatorname{im}\psi_1) \cong M \to N \cong E_0/(\operatorname{im}\varphi_1)$ equals α. Both the existence and uniqueness up to homotopy of a follows from defining a or s inductively by a relatively straightforward diagram chase, see [E], Proposition A3.13.

This is the general formulation of our main theorem, Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $a : (F, \psi) \to (E, \varphi)$ *be a morphism of Hermitian complexes, and let* u^E *and* u^F *be the forms associated to* E *and* F *as defined in* (2.3)*, and let*

$$M := \bar\partial |G|^{2\lambda} \wedge u^E \wedge a u^F \big|_{\lambda=0}\,, \tag{3.1}$$

where G *is a tuple of holomorphic functions such that* $G \not\equiv 0$*, and* $Z(G)$ *contains the set where* (E, φ) *and* (F, ψ) *are not pointwise exact. Then*

$$R^E a - a R^F = \nabla M, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\nabla = \nabla_{\varphi \oplus \psi}$.

Note that ∇ is defined with respect to the complex $(E \oplus F, \varphi \oplus \psi)$, and the superstructure, as in Section 2.1, of this complex is the grading $(E \oplus F)^+ = E^+ \oplus F^+$, $(E \oplus F)^- = E^- \oplus F^-$.

Proof. To begin with, we should prove the existence M, i.e., that the analytic continuation of the left-hand side (3.1) has a current-valued analytic continuation to $\lambda = 0$. However, we begin by considering the current

$$M' = |G|^{2\lambda} \wedge u^E \wedge a u^F \big|_{\lambda=0}\,, \tag{3.3}$$

and proving the existence of this current, the existence of M follows in the same way. The existence of the analytic continuation in (3.3) follows from a straightforward combination of the proof of the existence of the analytic continuation in the definition of U^E and U^F associated to E and F, see Section 2 of [AW1], and the proof of the existence of the analytic continuation of a similar current in [L1], Lemma 7.3. The main point of the argument is that by principalization of ideals and resolution of singularities, the components of u^E and u^F can respectively locally be written as push-forwards of smooth forms divided by single holomorphic functions, and by further principalization and resolution of singularities, the components of $u^E \wedge a u^F$ can locally be written as the push-forward of a smooth form divided by a monomial, of which the existence of the analytic continuation is elementary.

Now, since a is a morphism of complexes, $\varphi a = a \psi$, and hence, $\nabla a = \varphi a - a \psi = 0$. Thus, since outside of $Z(G)$, $\nabla_\varphi u^E = \mathrm{Id}_E$ and $\nabla_\psi u^F = \mathrm{Id}_F$, and since u^E has odd degree and a even degree, we get by (2.1) that

$$\nabla M' = \left(-\bar\partial |G|^{2\lambda} u^E \wedge a u^F + |G|^{2\lambda} a u^F - |G|^{2\lambda} u^E a \right) |_{\lambda=0}, \tag{3.4}$$

i.e.,

$$\nabla M' = -M + a U^F - U^E a.$$

Applying ∇ to this equation, we get (3.2) since $\nabla^2 = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla(aU^F - U^E a) &= a\nabla U^F - \nabla U^E a = \\ &= a(\mathrm{Id}_F - R^F) - (\mathrm{Id}_E - R^E)a = R^E a - aR^F.\end{aligned}$$

□

The main idea in the proof of Theorem 3.2, to form a ∇-potential essentially of the form $U \wedge U'$ to $U - U'$ appears in various works regarding residue currents, for example in [A1] and [AW1] in order to prove that under suitable conditions, the definition of the residue currents do not depend on the choice of metrics. This corresponds to applying the comparison formula in the case when (E, φ) and (F, ψ) have the same underlying complex, but are equipped with different metrics.

Another instance where such a construction appear is for example [L1], regarding the transformation law for Coleff-Herrera products of (weakly) holomorphic functions, of which its relation to the comparison formula is elaborated in Remark 3. It also appears in [A2] and [W], regarding products of residue currents, but the relation to the comparison formula is not as apparent.

Remark 2. Note that in Proposition 3.1, the complex (F, ψ) does not have to be exact. For our comparison formula to work, neither the complex (E, φ) has to be exact, as long as the morphism a exists. For example, if we have $f = gA$, for some tuples g and f of holomorphic functions, and a holomorphic matrix A, as in Remark 3, then A induces a morphism between the Koszul complexes of f and g, and we can apply the comparison formula also when the Koszul complex of g is not exact.

4. A TRANSFORMATION LAW FOR ANDERSSON-WULCAN CURRENTS ASSOCIATED TO COHEN-MACAULAY IDEALS

In this section, we state and prove the general version of our transformation law for Andersson-Wulcan currents associated to Cohen-Macaulay ideals.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ be a Cohen-Macaulay ideal of codimension p, with a free resolution (E, φ) of length p, and let (F, ψ) be a generically exact complex such that the set Z where (F, ψ) is not pointwise exact has codimension p. If $a : (F, \psi) \to (E, \varphi)$ is a morphism of complexes, then*

$$R^E_p a_0 = a_p R^F_p.$$

Such a morphism $a : (F, \psi) \to (E, \varphi)$ exists if $\operatorname{im} \psi_1 \subseteq \mathcal{J}$.

Proof. The last part about the existence of a follows immediately from Proposition 3.1. Thus, applying Theorem 3.2, since R^E only takes values in $\operatorname{Hom}(E_0, E_p)$, only the term $R^E_p a_0$ of $R^E_p a$ remains, and it will be enough to see that the current M as defined by (3.1) is 0. We write $M = \sum_{k,l} M_{l,k}$, where $M_{l,k}$ is the component of M with values in $\operatorname{Hom}(F_l, E_k)$. The current $M_{l,k}$ is a $(0, k-l-1)$-current with support on Z which has codimension p, so since $k \leq p$, $M_{l,k}$ is 0 by the dimension principle, Proposition 2.2. □

Example 1. Let $\pi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^3$, $\pi(t) = (t^3, t^4, t^5)$, and let Z be the germ at 0 of $\pi(\mathbb{C})$. One can show that the ideal of holomorphic functions vanishing at Z equals $\mathcal{J} = (y^2 - xz, x^3 - yz, x^2y - z^2)$.

The module ideal $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$ has a minimal free resolution

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \mathcal{O}^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J},$$

where

$$\varphi_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -z & -x^2 \\ -y & -z \\ x & y \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \varphi_1 = \begin{bmatrix} y^2 - xz & x^3 - yz & x^2y - z^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To check that this is a resolution, one verifies first that it indeed is a complex. Secondly, since $I_1(\varphi_1) = \mathcal{J}$, and $I_2(\varphi_2) = \mathcal{J}$ (the Fitting ideals of φ_1 and φ_2), the complex is exact by [E], Theorem 20.9 (cf. Section 2.6, where $Z_k = Z(I_k)$).

In particular, since $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$ has a minimal free resolution of length 2, with $\operatorname{rank} E_2 = 2$, Z is Cohen-Macaulay but not a complete intersection. However, Z is in fact a set-theoretic complete intersection. Let $f = (z^2 - x^2y, x^4 + y^3 - 2xyz)$, and $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{J}(f)$. One can verify that $Z(\mathcal{I}) = Z$, and since $\operatorname{codim} Z = 2$, Z is indeed a set-theoretic complete intersection.

Now, let (E, φ) be the free resolution of $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$, and (F, ψ) be the Koszul complex of f, which is a free resolution of $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}$ since f is a complete intersection. Since $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$ is Cohen-Macaulay and $Z(\mathcal{I}) = Z(\mathcal{J})$, we can apply Theorem 1.3 to (F, ψ) and (E, φ). One verifies that $a : (F, \psi) \to (E, \varphi)$,

$$a_2 = \begin{bmatrix} x^3 - yz \\ y^2 - xz \end{bmatrix}, \; a_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & y \\ 0 & x \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } a_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

is a morphism of complexes extending the natural surjection $\pi : \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$. Since the current associated to the Koszul complex of a complete intersection f is the Coleff-Herrera product of f, we get by Theorem 1.3 that

$$R^E = \bar{\partial}\frac{1}{x^4 + y^3 - 2xyz} \wedge \bar{\partial}\frac{1}{z^2 - x^2y} \wedge \begin{bmatrix} x^3 - yz \\ y^2 - xz \end{bmatrix}.$$

The fact that we can express the residue current corresponding to the ideal above in terms of a Coleff-Herrera product can be done more generally, as the following example shows.

Example 2. Let $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ be a Cohen-Macaulay ideal of codimension p, and let $Z = Z(\mathcal{J})$. Then, there exists a complete intersection

$(f_1,\dots,f_p)$ such that $Z \subseteq Z(f)$, see for example [L2], Lemma 19. By the Nullstellensatz, there exist N_i such that $f_i^{N_i} \in \mathcal{J}$. Thus, by replacing f_i by $f_i^{N_i}$, we can assume that $(f_1,\dots,f_p)$ is a complete intersection such that $\mathcal{J}(f_1,\dots,f_p) \subseteq \mathcal{J}$. Let (F,ψ) be the Koszul complex of f and let (E,φ) be a free resolution of $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$ of length p. By Theorem 1.3, we then have that

$$R_p^{\mathcal{J}} = \bar\partial\frac{1}{f_p} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar\partial\frac{1}{f_1} \wedge a_p(e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_p),$$

where a_p is the morphism in Theorem 1.3, since the current associated with the Koszul complex of f is the Coleff-Herrera product of f.

Remark 3. The transformation law for Coleff-Herrera products is a corollary of Theorem 1.3 in the following way. Let f and g be two complete intersections of codimension p, and assume that there exists a matrix A of holomorphic functions such that $f = gA$.

Since f and g are complete intersections, the Koszul complexes $(\bigwedge \mathcal{O}^{\oplus p}, \delta_f)$ and $(\bigwedge \mathcal{O}^{\oplus p}, \delta_g)$ are free resolutions of $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}(f)$ and $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}(g)$. Since $\mathcal{J}(f) \subseteq \mathcal{J}(g)$, we get a morphism a of the Koszul complexes of f and g induced by the inclusion $\pi : \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}(f) \to \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}(g)$ by Proposition 3.1. In fact, the morphism $a_k : \bigwedge^k \mathcal{O}^{\oplus p} \to \bigwedge^k \mathcal{O}^{\oplus p}$ is readily verified to be $\bigwedge^k A : \bigwedge^k \mathcal{O}^{\oplus p} \to \bigwedge^k \mathcal{O}^{\oplus p}$, see [L1], Lemma 7.2. In particular, $a_p = \bigwedge^p A = \det A$, so since the Andersson-Wulcan currents associated to the Koszul complexes of f and g are the Coleff-Herrera products of f and g, the transformation law $\mu^g = (\det A)\mu^f$ follows directly from Theorem 1.3.

In fact, the proof of Theorem 1.3 in this particular situation becomes exactly the proof of the transformation law for Coleff-Herrera products given in [L1], Theorem 7.1.

As mentioned above, the transformation law for Coleff-Herrera products is a special case of Theorem 1.3. In [DS2], two proofs of the transformation law are given, and in fact, we can essentially use the same argument as the second proof of the transformation law in [DS2], pages 54–55, to prove Theorem 1.3.

Alternative proof of Theorem 1.3. Consider $\mathcal{E}^p_{\mathcal{J}} := \mathcal{E}xt^p_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J},\mathcal{O})$. One way of computing $\mathcal{E}^p_{\mathcal{J}}$ is by taking a free resolution (E,φ) of $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$, applying $\operatorname{Hom}(\bullet,\mathcal{O})$ and taking cohomology, i.e., $\mathcal{E}^p_{\mathcal{J}} \cong \mathcal{H}^p(\operatorname{Hom}(E_\bullet,\mathcal{O}))$. On the other hand, it can also be computed by taking an injective resolution of $\mathcal{O}$, which can be taken as the complex of $(0,*)$-currents, $(\mathcal{C}^{0,\bullet},\bar\partial)$, and applying $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J},\bullet)$ to this complex and taking cohomology, i.e., $\mathcal{E}^p_{\mathcal{J}} \cong \mathcal{H}^p(\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J},\mathcal{C}^{0,\bullet}))$.

Since these are different realizations of $\mathcal{E}xt$, they are naturally isomorphic, and by [A5], Theorem 1.5, this isomorphism is given by

$$\phi : [\xi]_{\mathcal{H}^p(\operatorname{Hom}(E_\bullet,\mathcal{O}))} \mapsto [\xi R^E_p]_{\mathcal{H}^p(\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J},\mathcal{C}^{0,\bullet}))}. \tag{4.1}$$

We now consider the map $\pi : \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$, which induces a map $\pi^* : \mathcal{E}^p_{\mathcal{J}} \to \mathcal{E}^p_{\mathcal{I}}$. In the first realization of $\mathcal{E}xt$, π^* becomes the map $a_p^* : \mathcal{H}^p(\mathrm{Hom}\,(E_\bullet, \mathcal{O})) \to \mathcal{H}^p(\mathrm{Hom}\,(F_\bullet, \mathcal{O}))$ induced by $a : (F, \psi) \to (E, \varphi)$. In the second realizations of $\mathcal{E}xt$, the map becomes just the identity map on the currents (due to the fact that currents annihilated by $\mathcal{J}$ are also annihilated by $\mathcal{I}$). Thus, using the naturality of π^*, and the isomorphism (4.1), we get from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{H}^p(\mathrm{Hom}\,(E_\bullet, \mathcal{O})) \arrow[r, "\pi^*"] \arrow[d, "\phi"] & \mathcal{H}^p(\mathrm{Hom}\,(F_\bullet, \mathcal{O})) \arrow[d, "\phi"] \\
\mathcal{H}^p(\mathrm{Hom}\,(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{C}^{0,\bullet})) \arrow[r, "\pi^*"] & \mathcal{H}^p(\mathrm{Hom}\,(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C}^{0,\bullet}))
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.2}$$

that $[(a_p^*)\xi R_p^F]_{\bar\partial} = [\xi R_p^E]_{\bar\partial}$, i.e., $\xi a_p R_p^F = \xi R_p^E + \bar\partial \eta_\xi$, where η_ξ is annihilated by $\mathcal{I}$, and ξ is a holomorphic section of $\ker \varphi^*_{p+1}$. Since $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, $\varphi_{p+1} = 0$, so the equality holds for all holomorphic sections ξ of E_p, i.e., $a_p R_p^F = R_p^E + \bar\partial \eta$ for some (vector-valued) current η annihilated by $\mathcal{I}$. Since a_p is holomorphic, and R_p^F and R_p^E are in CH_Z, see Section 2.5, where $Z = Z(\mathcal{I})$, we get from the decomposition $\ker(\mathcal{C}_Z^{0,p} \xrightarrow{\bar\partial} \mathcal{C}_Z^{0,p+1}) = CH_Z \oplus \bar\partial \mathcal{C}_Z^{0,p-1}$, see [DS2], Theorem 5.1, that $\bar\partial \eta = 0$, where $\mathcal{C}_Z^{0,p}$ is the sheaf of $(0,p)$-currents supported on Z.

The only difference of the proof here, to the proof in [DS2] is that we have the isomorphism (4.1) from [A5], while in [DS2], this isomorphism was only available if $\mathcal{J}$ was a complete intersection ideal, see the proof of Proposition 3.5 in [DS1]. $\square$

We end this section with an example of how we can express Andersson-Wulcan currents associated to Cohen-Macaulay ideals in terms of Bochner-Martinelli currents.

Example 3. Let $f = (f_1, \cdots, f_k)$ be a tuple of holomorphic functions such that $Z = Z(f)$, and assume that $\operatorname{codim} Z = p$. Note that we do not assume that f is a complete intersection, i.e., that $k = p$. Let $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus k}$ be the trivial vector bundle with frame $e_1, \cdots, e_k$, and consider f as a section of $(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus k})^*$, $f = \sum f_i e_i^*$. Let R^f be the Bochner-Martinelli current associated with f, and write $R_p^f = \sum R_I \wedge e_I$, i.e., $R_I \wedge e_I$ is the component of R_p^f with values in $e_I := e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_p} \in \bigwedge^p \mathcal{O}^{\oplus k}$. In [A4], Andersson proves that if $\mu \in CH_Z$, then there exist holomorphic $(*, 0)$-forms α_I such that $\mu = \sum \alpha_I R_I$ (first, replacing f_i by $f_i^{N_i}$ such that $f_i^{N_i} \mu = 0$). In particular, this applies in our case to R^Z, see Section 2.5. In [A4], the α_I are not explicitly given, but when $\mu = R^Z$, we can obtain them from Theorem 4.1. We let (F, ψ) be the Koszul complex of f, and (E, φ) a minimal free resolution of $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}_Z$. Since the current associated with the Koszul complex of f is the Bochner-Martinelli current of f, Theorem 4.1 gives the factorization

$$R^Z = \sum \alpha_I R_I,$$

where $\alpha_I = a_p(e_I)$.

5. A non Cohen-Macaulay example

When the ideals involved in the comparison formula are not Cohen-Macaulay, the comparison formula does not have as simple form as in the Cohen-Macaulay case in Section 4. In this section, we illustrate with an example how one could still use the comparison formula also to compute the residue current associated to a non Cohen-Macaulay ideal.

Example 4. Let $Z \subseteq \mathbb{C}^4$ be the variety $Z = \{x = y = 0\} \cup \{z = w = 0\}$. The ideal $\mathcal{I}_Z$ if holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^2$ vanishing on Z equals $\mathcal{I}_Z = \mathcal{J}(xz, xw, yz, yw)$. It can be verified that $\mathcal{I}_Z$ has a minimal free resolution (E, φ) of the form

$$0 \to \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} \mathcal{O}^{\oplus 4} \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \mathcal{O}^{\oplus 4} \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}_Z,$$

where

$$\varphi_3 = \begin{bmatrix} w \\ -z \\ -y \\ x \end{bmatrix}, \ \varphi_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -y & 0 & -w & 0 \\ x & 0 & 0 & -w \\ 0 & -y & z & 0 \\ 0 & x & 0 & z \end{bmatrix} \text{ and}$$

$$\varphi_1 = \begin{bmatrix} xz & xw & yz & yw \end{bmatrix}.$$

We compare this resolution with the Koszul complex (F, ψ) of the complete intersection ideal $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{J}(xz, yw)$. One can verify that the morphism $a : (F, \psi) \to (E, \varphi)$

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} w \\ z \\ y \\ x \end{bmatrix}, \ a_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } a_0 = [1]$$

is a morphism of complexes extending the natural surjection $\pi : \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}_Z$ as in Proposition 3.1.

We compute the current R_2^E by using the comparison formula, Theorem 3.2, $R_2^E = a_2 R_2^F + \varphi_3 M_3 - \bar\partial M_2$, where M_k is part of M in (3.1) with values in $\operatorname{Hom}(F_0, E_k)$. Since M_2 is a pseudomeromorphic $(0,1)$-current with support on $Z(\mathcal{I})$, which has codimension 2, M_2 is zero by Proposition 2.3. We now consider the terms of M_3,

$$M_3 = \bar\partial |G|^{2\lambda} \wedge \left(\bar\partial \sigma_3^E \sigma_2^E a_1 \sigma_1^F + \sigma_3^E a_2 \sigma_2^F \bar\partial \sigma_1^F \right) \big|_{\lambda=0}$$

(where we have used that $(\bar\partial \sigma_3^E) \sigma_2^E = \sigma_3^E \bar\partial \sigma_2^E$). We claim that in fact, the first term of the right-hand side is 0. Note that if we chose the trivial metrics on E, then

$$\sigma_3^E = 1/(|x|^2+|y|^2+|z|^2+|w|^2)\left[\begin{array}{cccc} \overline{w} & -\overline{z} & -\overline{y} & \overline{x} \end{array}\right].$$

Outside of $\{0\}$, $\bar\partial\sigma_3^E$ is smooth, and the first term of M_3 is $\bar\partial\sigma_3^E$ times $\bar\partial|G|^{2\lambda}\wedge\sigma_2^E a_1\sigma_1^F\big|_{\lambda=0}$, where the last current is a pseudomeromorphic $(0,1)$-current with support on $Z(\mathcal{I})$ of codimension 2, so it is 0 by the dimension principle. Thus, the first term of M_3 has support at 0, and being a pseudomeromorphic $(0,2)$-current supported at 0, it is zero everywhere, again by the dimension principle. Thus, outside of $\{0\}$,

$$R_2^E = (I_{E_2}-\varphi_3\sigma_3)a_2R_2^F$$

(the minus sign in front of φ_3 is due to $\bar\partial|G|^{2\lambda}$ and σ_3 anti-commuting). Then, R_2^E is the standard extension in the sense of [B2], Section 6.2, of $(I_{E_2}-\varphi_3\sigma_3)a_2R_2^F$. One way to interpret the standard extension here is that since R_2^E is a pseudomeromorphic $(0,2)$-current defined on all of $\mathbb{C}^4$, its extension from $\mathbb{C}^4\setminus\{0\}$ is uniquely defined by the dimension principle.

We have that

$$(I_{E_2}-\varphi_3\sigma_3)a_2 = \frac{1}{|x|^2+|y|^2+|z|^2+|w|^2}\begin{bmatrix} w(|y|^2+|z|^2) \\ z(|x|^2+|w|^2) \\ y(|x|^2+|w|^2) \\ x(|y|^2+|z|^2) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $R_2^F = \bar\partial(1/yw)\wedge\bar\partial(1/xz)$, see Theorem 2.1, we get from the transformation law and Proposition 2.3 that R_2^E is the standard extension of

$$\frac{1}{|x|^2+|y|^2+|z|^2+|w|^2}\begin{bmatrix} |z|^2\bar\partial\frac{1}{y}\wedge\bar\partial\frac{1}{xz} \\ |w|^2\bar\partial\frac{1}{yw}\wedge\bar\partial\frac{1}{x} \\ |x|^2\bar\partial\frac{1}{w}\wedge\bar\partial\frac{1}{xz} \\ |y|^2\bar\partial\frac{1}{yw}\wedge\bar\partial\frac{1}{z} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using again the transformation law and Proposition 2.3, one gets that R_2^E is the standard extension of

$$R_2^E = \frac{1}{|z|^2+|w|^2}\begin{bmatrix} \overline{z} \\ \overline{w} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\wedge\bar\partial\frac{1}{y}\wedge\bar\partial\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{|x|^2+|y|^2}\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \overline{x} \\ \overline{y} \end{bmatrix}\wedge\bar\partial\frac{1}{w}\wedge\bar\partial\frac{1}{z}.$$

6. Andersson-Wulcan currents on an analytic variety

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.4. The special case of Theorem 1.4 when $\mathcal{J}=\{0\}$ will be the basis of the proof in the general case. In case Z has pure dimension, this will follow from [AS1], Proposition 3.3 (where much more precise information about the current is given).

Proposition 6.1. *Let* $(Z,0)$ *be an analytic subvariety of* $(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$*, and let* $\mathcal{I}_Z$ *be the ideal of germs of holomorphic functions vanishing at* Z*. Then*

$$R^{\mathcal{I}_Z}\wedge dz$$

defines a current on $(Z,0)$*.*

Proof. Let ϕ be a test form of bidegree $(0,*)$ vanishing on Z. We thus want to prove that ϕ annihilates $R=R^{\mathcal{I}_Z}$. Let $(W_k,0)$ be the union of the irreducible components of $(Z,0)$ of codimension k, and let

$$R'_k+R''_k:=\mathbf{1}_{W_k}R_k+\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}^n\setminus W_k}R_k=R_k.$$

We first show that $\phi\wedge R'_k=0$. Consider a point $z\in W_k\setminus Z_{\rm sing}$. There, $R'_k=R_k$, and it is relatively straightforward to see that ϕ annihilates R_k outside of $Z_{\rm sing}$, but it also follows from [AS1], Proposition 3.3. Thus, $\operatorname{supp}(\phi\wedge R'_k)\subseteq W_k\cap Z_{\rm sing}$, so

$$\phi\wedge R'_k=\mathbf{1}_{W_k\cap Z_{\rm sing}}(\phi\wedge R'_k)=\phi\wedge\mathbf{1}_{W_k\cap Z_{\rm sing}}R'_k=0,$$

where the final equality holds since R'_k is a pseudomeromorphic $(0,k)$-current, and $\operatorname{codim}W_k\cap Z_{\rm sing}\geq k+1$ (since a singular point of Z is either a singular point of an irreducible component or in the intersection of irreducible components, both of which must be in codimension $\geq k+1$ on an irreducible component of codimension k), so $\mathbf{1}_{W_k\cap Z_{\rm sing}}R'_k=0$ by Proposition 2.2.

Now, we consider $\phi\wedge R''_k$. We will prove by induction over k that ϕ annihilates R''_k, so by induction, we assume that $\phi\wedge R_l=0$ for $l<k$. Outside of Z_k (which contains W_k), $R''_k=\bar\partial\sigma_kR_{k-1}$, and since $\bar\partial\sigma_k$ is smooth outside of Z_k, we get by the induction hypothesis that $\operatorname{supp}(\phi\wedge R''_k)\subseteq Z_k$. Let Z'_k be the closure of $Z_k\setminus W_k$. Since $\operatorname{supp}(\phi\wedge R''_k)\subseteq Z_k$, $\phi\wedge R''_k=\mathbf{1}_{Z_k}(\phi\wedge R''_k)$. Using (2.4) with $Z_k=W_k\cup Z'_k$, together with the fact that $\mathbf{1}_{W_k}R''_k=\mathbf{1}_{W_k}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}^n\setminus W_k}R_k=0$, we see that $\operatorname{supp}(\phi\wedge R''_k)\subseteq Z'_k$. Thus,

$$\phi\wedge R''_k=\mathbf{1}_{Z'_k}(\phi\wedge R''_k)=\phi\wedge\mathbf{1}_{Z'_k}R''_k=0$$

since $\operatorname{codim}Z'_k\geq k+1$ by (2.5), and R''_k is a pseudomeromorphic $(0,k)$-current, so $\mathbf{1}_{Z'_k}R''_k=0$ by Proposition 2.2. □

Now, we let (F,ψ) and (E,φ) be free resolutions of the ideals $\mathcal{I}_Z$ and $\mathcal{J}+\mathcal{I}_Z$, and let $a:(F,\psi)\to(E,\varphi)$ be the map induced from the natural surjection $\pi:\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}_Z\to\mathcal{O}/(\mathcal{J}+\mathcal{I}_Z)$, as in Proposition 3.1. Let σ^E and σ^F be the forms associated to (E,φ) and (F,ψ) as in Section 2.2, and let G be a tuple of holomorphic functions such that $Z(G)\supseteq Z$. Define

$$M^l_k=\bar\partial|G|^{2\lambda}\wedge\bar\partial\sigma^E_k\bar\partial\sigma^E_{k-1}\dots\sigma^E_{l+1}a_l\sigma^F_l\bar\partial\sigma^F_{l-1}\dots\bar\partial\sigma^F_1|_{\lambda=0}.\tag{6.1}$$

Note that by using that $\bar\partial\sigma_{j+1}\sigma_j=\sigma_{j+1}\bar\partial\sigma_j$, it follows that the current M in (3.1) is exactly $\sum_{l<k}M^l_k$ (and in particular, the existence of the analytic continuation in the definition of M^l_k follows in the same way). However, in the definition of M^l_k, we also allow $k=l$, which we interpret

as containing no σ's at all. The reason we allow $k = l$ is to be able to start the induction in the next lemma.

The proof of Theorem 1.4 will be a simple consequence of Proposition 6.1 and this lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *Let M be the current defined by* (3.1)*. Then $M \wedge dz$ defines a current on Z.*

Proof. Note that as we remarked above, $M = \sum_{l<k} M_k^l$, where M_k^l is defined by (6.1). We show by induction over $k - l$, that if ϕ is a test form such that $\phi|_{Z_{\rm reg}} = 0$, then $\phi \wedge M_k^l \wedge dz = 0$. Since $M_l^l = a_l R_l^{\mathcal{I}_Z}$, the case $k = l$ follows from Proposition 6.1.

We let $Z_k = Z_k^{\mathcal{J}+\mathcal{I}_Z}$. For $k = l+1$, we have $M_k^l = \sigma_k^E M_{k-1}^l$, where σ_k^E is smooth outside of Z_k, so by induction over l, ϕ annihilates $M_k^l \wedge dz$ outside of Z_k. Thus,

$$\phi \wedge M_k^l \wedge dz = \phi \wedge \mathbf{1}_{Z_k} M_k^l \wedge dz = 0,$$

since $\mathbf{1}_{Z_k} M_k^l$ is a $(0, k-1)$-current with support on Z_k of codimension $\geq k$.

For $k > l + 1$, $M_k^l = (\bar\partial \sigma_k^E) \wedge M_{k-1}^l$ outside of Z_k, and as above, $\phi \wedge M_k^l = \phi \wedge \mathbf{1}_{Z_k} M_k^l = 0$ since $\mathbf{1}_{Z_k} M_k^l$ is a $(0, k-1)$-current with support on Z_k of codimension $\geq k$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4. The fact that $R^{\mathcal{J}+\mathcal{I}_Z} \wedge dz$ defines a current on Z will follow from the formula

$$R^{\mathcal{J}+\mathcal{I}_Z} \wedge dz = a R^{\mathcal{I}_Z} \wedge dz + \nabla_\varphi M \wedge dz$$

in Theorem 3.2. The term $a R^{\mathcal{I}_Z} \wedge dz$ defines a current on Z by Proposition 6.1, and since M defines a current on Z by Lemma 6.2, so does $\nabla_\varphi M \wedge dz$, since if ϕ vanishes on Z, then $\bar\partial \phi$ also vanishes on Z, so

$$\phi \wedge \nabla_\varphi M \wedge dz = \nabla_\varphi(\phi \wedge M) \wedge dz + \bar\partial \phi \wedge M \wedge dz = 0.$$

□

7. The Jacobian determinant of a holomorphic mapping

Proof of Theorem 1.5. As explained before the statement of Theorem 1.5, the 'only if' direction follows from the Poincaré-Lelong formula and the duality theorem. Thus, it remains to prove that if $\operatorname{codim} Z(f) < n$, then $J_f \in \mathcal{J}(f_1, \dots, f_n)$. We consider a free resolution (E, φ) of $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{J}(f)$ of length $\leq n$, which exists by Hilbert's syzygy theorem, and the Koszul complex $(\bigwedge \mathcal{O}^{\oplus n}, \delta_f)$ of f. By Proposition 3.1, there exists a morphism $a : (\bigwedge \mathcal{O}^{\oplus n}, \delta_f) \to (E, \varphi)$ extending the identity morphisms $\operatorname{coker}(\delta_f)_1 \cong \operatorname{coker} \varphi_1$. Thus, we get from Theorem 3.2 that

$$R^E = a R^f + \nabla M, \tag{7.1}$$

where R^f is the Bochner-Martinelli current of f, i.e., the currents associated to the Koszul complex of f. Since $\operatorname{ann} R^E = \mathcal{J}(f)$, we are done if we can prove that J_f annihilates both the currents of the right-hand side of (7.1), or equivalently that $df := df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_n$ annihilates these currents.

We consider first the terms $R^f_k \wedge df$. From the proof of Lemma 8.3 in [A1], it follows that there exists a modification $\pi : \tilde{X} \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, such that $R^{\pi^* f} \wedge \pi^* df$ is of the form

$$\bar\partial \frac{1}{f_0^k} \wedge (f_0^{n-1} df_0 \wedge \eta_1 + f_0^n \eta_2),$$

where f_0 is a single holomorphic function, such that $\{f_0 = 0\} = \{\pi^* f = 0\}$ and η_1 and η_2 are smooth forms. By the Poincaré-Lelong formula and the duality theorem, this equals $2\pi i [f_0 = 0] f_0^{n-k} \eta_1$. In particular, if $k < n$, $R^f_k \wedge df = 0$ since $R^f_k \wedge df = \pi_*(R^{\pi^* f}_k \wedge \pi^* df)$. If $k = n$, it is thus sufficient that a_n vanishes on $Z(f)$ to prove that df annihilates $a_n R^f_n$. Since we assume that $\dim Z(f) > 0$, by continuity, it is enough to prove that a_n vanishes generically at $Z(f)$. An ideal is generically Cohen-Macaulay since if $p = \operatorname{codim} Z(\mathcal{J})$, then the set where $\mathcal{J}$ is not Cohen-Macaulay is Z_{p+1}, which has codimension $\geq p+1$, see Section 2.6. Thus, we can assume that we are at a point $z_0 \in Z(f)$ such that $\mathcal{J}(f)_{z_0}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, and of codimension $p < n$ and we want to prove that $a_n(z_0) = 0$.

We consider a minimal free resolution (F, ψ) of $\mathcal{O}_{z_0}/\mathcal{J}(f)_{z_0}$, and let $b : (\bigwedge \mathcal{O}_{z_0}^{\oplus n}, \delta_f) \to (F, \psi)$ be the morphisms induced by the identity morphism by Proposition 3.1. Since a minimal free resolution is a direct summand of any free resolution, we get an inclusion $i : (F, \psi) \to (E, \varphi)$. Thus, one choice of $a' : (\bigwedge \mathcal{O}_{z_0}, \delta_f) \to (E, \varphi)$ would be $a' = ib$. Since b_n is 0 (because we assume that $\mathcal{J}(f)_{z_0}$ is Cohen-Macaulay of codimension $p < n$, so $F_n = 0$), $a'_n = 0$. Thus, there exists one choice of $a : (\bigwedge \mathcal{O}_{z_0}^{\oplus n}, \delta_f) \to (E, \varphi)$ such that $a_n(z_0) = 0$. We need to prove that this holds for any choice of a. By Proposition 3.1, we have that there exists $s : (\bigwedge \mathcal{O}_{z_0}^{\oplus n}, \delta_f) \to (E, \varphi)$ of degree -1 such that $a_k - a'_k = \varphi_{k+1} s_k - s_{k-1} (\delta_f)_k$, and in particular, if $k = n$, then $\varphi_{n+1} = 0$, so $a_n = a'_n + s_{n-1} (\delta_f)_n$. Thus, $a_n(z_0) = 0$ since $a'_n(z_0) = 0$ and $(\delta_f)_n = 0$ on $Z(f)$.

Finally, we want to prove that df annihilates M (note that df is holomorphic, so df commutes or anti-commutes with ∇, depending on the degree of df). Let

$$M^l_k = \bar\partial |f|^{2\lambda} \wedge \bar\partial \sigma_k \cdots \bar\partial \sigma_{l+2} \sigma_{l+1} a_l u^f_l \Big|_{\lambda=0}, \tag{7.2}$$

so that $M = \sum_{l<k} M^l_k$, cf. (6.1). Note that if $k = l$ (which we interpret as M^l_k containing no σ's at all), then $M^l_l = a_l R^f_l$. Thus, if $k = l < n$, then by the first part, $df \wedge M^l_l = 0$. Then, one finishes the proof of showing that $df \wedge M^l_k = 0$ for $l < k$ by induction over $k - l$, the argument is exactly the same as in the proof of Lemma 6.2. $\square$

APPENDIX A. USING MACAULAY2 TO COMPUTE INDUCED MORPHISMS

The computation of the induced morphisms as in Proposition 3.1 can be performed with the help of the computer algebra program Macaulay2[1]. The following code computes the induced morphisms in Example 1.

```
-- Create the ambient ring (R), generators (h),
-- and corresponding ideal (I), and its radical
-- ideal (J)
R = QQ[x,y,z]
h = matrix{{z^2-x^2*y,x^4+y^3-2*x*y*z}}
I = ideal(h)
J = radical(I)

-- Create free resolutions of I and J
-- (h is a complete intersection, so the
-- Koszul complex is a free resolution)
E = res J
F = koszul(h)

-- Create the map induced from the natural
-- surjection O/J -> O/I
a_0 = inducedMap(F_0,E_0)
a = extend(E,F,a_0)

-- Print a, E and F
a
E.dd
F.dd
```

REFERENCES

[A1] M. Andersson, *Residue currents and ideals of holomorphic functions*, Bull. Sci. Math. **128** (2004), no. 6, 481–512.

[A2] M. Andersson, *A residue criterion for strong holomorphicity*, Ark. Mat. **48** (2010), no. 1, 1–15.

[A3] M. Andersson, *Integral representation with weights. II. Division and interpolation*, Math. Z. **254** (2006), no. 2, 315–332.

[A4] M. Andersson, *Uniqueness and factorization of Coleff-Herrera currents*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) **18** (2009), no. 4, 651–661.

[A5] M. Andersson, *Coleff-Herrera currents, duality, and Noetherian operators*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **139** (2011), no. 4, 535–554.

[AS1] M. Andersson and H. Samuelsson, *A Dolbeault-Grothendieck lemma on complex spaces via Koppelman formulas*, Invent. Math. (2012), to appear, available at `arXiv:1010.6142[math.CV]`.

---

[1] `http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/`

[AS2] M. Andersson and H. Samuelsson, *Weighted Koppelman formulas and the $\overline{\partial}$-equation on an analytic space*, J. Funct. Anal. **261** (2011), no. 3, 777–802.

[ASS] M. Andersson, H. Samuelsson, and J. Sznajdman, *On the Briançon-Skoda theorem on a singular variety*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **60** (2010), no. 2, 417–432.

[AW1] M. Andersson and E. Wulcan, *Residue currents with prescribed annihilator ideals*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **40** (2007), no. 6, 985–1007.

[AW2] M. Andersson and E. Wulcan, *Decomposition of residue currents*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **638** (2010), 103–118.

[AW3] M. Andersson and E. Wulcan, *On the effective membership problem on singular varieties* (2011), Preprint, Göteborg, available at `arXiv:1107.0388[math.CV]`.

[B1] J.-E. Björk, *$\mathcal{D}$-modules and residue currents on complex manifolds* (1996), Preprint, Stockholm.

[B2] J.-E. Björk, *Residues and $\mathcal{D}$-modules*, The legacy of Niels Henrik Abel, Springer, Berlin, 2004, pp. 605–651.

[CH] N. R. Coleff and M. E. Herrera, *Les courants résiduels associés à une forme méromorphe*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 633, Springer, Berlin, 1978.

[DS1] A. Dickenstein and C. Sessa, *Canonical representatives in moderate cohomology*, Invent. Math. **80** (1985), no. 3, 417–434.

[DS2] A. Dickenstein and C. Sessa, *Résidus de formes méromorphes et cohomologie modérée*, Géométrie complexe (Paris, 1992), Actualités Sci. Indust., vol. 1438, Hermann, Paris, 1996, pp. 35–59.

[E] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. With a view toward algebraic geometry.

[GH] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, 1978. Pure and Applied Mathematics.

[H] M. Hickel, *Une note à propos du Jacobien de n fonctions holomorphes à l'origine de $\mathbb{C}^n$*, Ann. Polon. Math. **94** (2008), no. 3, 245–264.

[JW] M. Jonsson and E. Wulcan, *On Bochner-Martinelli residue currents and their annihilator ideals*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **59** (2009), no. 6, 2119–2142.

[L1] R. Lärkäng, *Residue currents associated with weakly holomorphic functions*, Ark. Mat. **50** (2012), no. 1, 135–164.

[L2] R. Lärkäng, *On the duality theorem on an analytic variety*, Math. Ann., to appear, available at `arXiv:1007.0139[math.CV]`.

[L3] R. Lärkäng, *Residue currents with prescribed annihilator ideals on singular varieties*, In preparation.

[LW] R. Lärkäng and E. Wulcan, *Computing residue currents of monomial ideals using comparison formulas* (2012), Preprint, Göteborg, available at `arXiv:1205.4648[math.CV]`.

[M] B. Malgrange, *Ideals of differentiable functions*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, No. 3, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1967.

[N] R. Narasimhan, *Introduction to the theory of analytic spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 25, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.

[P] M. Passare, *Residues, currents, and their relation to ideals of holomorphic functions*, Math. Scand. **62** (1988), no. 1, 75–152.

[PTY] M. Passare, A. Tsikh, and A. Yger, *Residue currents of the Bochner-Martinelli type*, Publ. Mat. **44** (2000), no. 1, 85–117.

[S] J. Sznajdman, *A Briançon-Skoda type result for a non-reduced analytic space* (2010), Preprint, Göteborg, available at `arXiv:1001.0322[math.CV]`.

[T1] Y. L. L. Tong, *Integral representation formulae and Grothendieck residue symbol*, Amer. J. Math. **95** (1973), 904–917.

[T2] A. K. Tsikh, *Multidimensional residues and their applications*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 103, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992.

[V] W. V. Vasconcelos, *The top of a system of equations*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana **37** (1992), no. 1-2, 549–556. Papers in honor of José Adem (Spanish).

[W] E. Wulcan, *Products of residue currents of Cauchy-Fantappiè-Leray type*, Ark. Mat. **45** (2007), no. 1, 157–178.

R. Lärkäng, Department of Mathematics, Chalmers University of Technology and the University of Gothenburg, 412 96 GÖTEBORG, SWEDEN

E-mail address: `larkang@chalmers.se`